



Diseased Agricultural Material Form

This form must be completed and submitted along with all required supporting documentation for each diseased material burn request or application for grant funding to dispose of diseased material using an air curtain burner through the District's Ag Burn Alternatives Grant Program. Prior to conducting any agricultural burning, authorization to burn must be obtained from the District.

GROWER BUSINESS NAME:				
GROWER CONTACT:		BURN PERMIT NUMBER:		
PHONE NUMBER:		BURN PERMIT LOCATION NUMBER:		
DISEASED CROP LOCATION:		COUNTY:		
		TOTAL CROP ACRES ON PARCEL:		
DISEASE, PEST, OR VIRUS DETAILS	NAME:	TOTAL IMPACTED ACRES ON PARCEL:		
	DATE OF SITE VISIT:	TOTAL HEALTHY ACRES ON PARCEL:		
	SITE VISIT PERFORMED BY (AGENCY/BUSINESS NAME):	CROP TYPE (e.g. cane-pruned vineyard):		
	REQUIRED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION:	PRIOR PREVENTATIVE MEASURES TAKEN. Attach additional pages if needed:		N.
	☐ Site Map outlining impacted acres on parcel			
	3 rd Party field survey/report or 3 rd Party lab results			
	County Commissioner Evaluation of Alternative Measures			
	OPTIONAL DOCUMENTATION:			
	Sampling Method			
	Other:			
DISTRICT RULE 4103 REQUIREMENTS	District Rule 4103			
	Section 5.9.1.3 – The county agricultural commissioner has determined all of the following:			
	Section 5.9.1.3.1 – There is no economically feasible alternative means of eliminating the disease or pest other than burning, and			
	Section 5.9.1.3.2 – There is the presence of a disease or pest that will cause a substantial, quantifiable reduction in yield or poses a threat to the health of adjacent vines, trees, or plants in the field proposed to be burned, during the current or next growing season, or there is the presence of a disease or pest that will cause a substantial, quantifiable reduction in production of animals or fowl.			
AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVE MEASURES	All of the below practices must be evaluated for feasibility by the county agricultural commissioner, or an independent third-party with concurrence from the agricultural commissioner, in accordance with District Rule 4103.			
	Note: For each alternative practice checked "NO", explain how it was evaluated and why it is not economically feasible. Attach additional pages if needed.			
	DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVE PRACTICE		ECONIMCALLY FEASIBLE?	
			YES	NO
	OFF-SITE DISPOSAL (e.g. mulching, composting, land application near roadways for dust suppression)			
	CHIPPING WITH SOIL INCORPORATION			
	CHIPPING WITHOUT SOIL INCORPORATION			