

## Table of Contents

### **Executive Summary**

<i>Plan prepared with extensive public input.....</i>	ES-1
<i>What will this plan do? .....</i>	ES-2
<i>Guiding Principles .....</i>	ES-3
<i>Why has this plan been prepared? .....</i>	ES-4
<i>Valley's challenge is greater than any other region in the nation .....</i>	ES-5
<i>Building on past efforts to achieve cleaner air.....</i>	ES-7
<i>What amounts of additional reductions are needed?.....</i>	ES-8
<i>How difficult is it to reduce NOx emissions by another 75%?.....</i>	ES-8
<i>How do legal jurisdictional boundaries assigned to various governmental entities affect the design and implementation of this plan? .....</i>	ES-9
<i>What is the strategy for attainment?.....</i>	ES-10
<i>Why is an "Extreme" attainment plan required? .....</i>	ES-12
<i>Journey to Attainment.....</i>	ES-14
<i>What additional requirements are triggered by an "Extreme" designation? .....</i>	ES-16
<i>"Extreme" designation has onerous ramifications. Is not submitting a plan an option?.....</i>	ES-16
<i>Public Accountability.....</i>	ES-16

### **Table of Contents**

#### **Acronyms and Glossary**

#### **Chapter 1: Progress and Current Air Quality**

1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.2 Adopted Rules.....	1-1
1.3 Current Air Quality .....	1-1
1.3.1 Emissions .....	1-2
1.3.2 Air Monitoring Data .....	1-4

#### **Chapter 2: Meeting Federal Requirements**

2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
2.2 Health Effects .....	2-1
2.2.1 Other Effects of Ozone .....	2-2
2.3 Health-Based Standards.....	2-3
2.4 Classifications and Requirements .....	2-4
2.5 Federal CAA Planning Requirements Addressed by the 2007 Ozone Plan.....	2-7
2.5.1 Reasonably Available Control Technologies (RACT).....	2-7
2.5.2 Attainment Demonstration .....	2-7
2.5.3 Reasonable Further Progress (RFP) Demonstration .....	2-8

2.5.4 Contingency Provisions .....	2-8
2.5.5 Major Stationary Source Threshold.....	2-8
2.5.6 Offset Requirements .....	2-9
2.5.7 New Technologies .....	2-9
2.5.8 Other Requirements.....	2-9

**Chapter 3: What is Needed to Demonstrate Attainment?**

3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
3.2 Challenges.....	3-1
3.2.1 Natural Conditions .....	3-1
3.2.2 Population Growth .....	3-4
3.2.3 Jurisdictional Limits and Regulatory Authority .....	3-4
3.3 Modeling .....	3-6
3.3.1 Model Choice .....	3-6
3.3.2 Base Case .....	3-6
3.3.3 Weight of Evidence.....	3-7
3.3.4 RRF .....	3-8
3.4 Carrying Capacity .....	3-8
3.5 PM2.5 Considerations .....	3-14

**Chapter 4: Strategy**

4.1 Introduction.....	4-1
4.2 Overall Approach.....	4-1
4.2.1 Integration of Ozone and PM2.5 Strategies .....	4-1
4.3 Four-Faceted Control Strategy .....	4-2
4.4 State and Federal Components.....	4-4
4.5 Support from Other District Programs .....	4-4
4.5.1 Compliance/Enforcement.....	4-4
4.5.2 Public Education, Awareness, and Information.....	4-6
4.5.2.1 Programs.....	4-6
4.5.2.2 Events .....	4-10
4.5.2.3 Materials.....	4-11
4.5.3 Environmental Review .....	4-12
4.5.4 Sustainable Incentives .....	4-13

**Chapter 5: Public Accountability****Chapter 6: District Regulatory Control Measures for Stationary Sources**

6.1 Introduction.....	6-1
6.2 Regulatory Component.....	6-1
6.2.1 Process for Identifying and Evaluating Potential Control Measures .....	6-2
6.3 Implementation Schedule for the Stationary Source Regulatory Component .....	6-4

**Chapter 7: Action Plan for Reducing Emissions with Incentive Funds**

7.1 Introduction.....	7-1
7.2 District Experience to Date in Administering and Implementing Incentive Programs.....	7-2
7.2.1 Heavy-Duty Engine Emission Reduction Incentive Program .....	7-2
7.2.2 REMOVE II Program .....	7-3
7.2.3 Light and Medium-Duty Vehicle Incentive Program .....	7-4
7.2.4 Electric Lawnmower Incentives .....	7-4
7.3 Existing Funding Sources in the San Joaquin Valley .....	7-4
7.3.1 DMV Surcharge Fees .....	7-5
7.3.2 Carl Moyer Memorial Air Quality Standards Attainment Program .....	7-6
7.3.3 Indirect Source Review and Voluntary Developer Mitigation Control Funds .....	7-6
7.4 Potential Sources for Additional State and Federal Funding .....	7-7
7.5 Enhancing SIP Credibility of Incentive Based Emission Reductions .....	7-7
7.5.1 Introduction .....	7-7
7.5.2 Background .....	7-8
7.5.3 Draft Changes to Incentive Program Operation .....	7-9
7.5.4 Conclusions and Next Steps .....	7-13
7.6 District Action Plan for Existing and Future Inventive Funds .....	7-14
7.6.1 Potential Incentive Measures .....	7-14
7.6.2 Recommended Funding Levels for Incentive Control Measures .....	7-57
7.6.3 Incentive Measures for Possible Future Consideration ...	7-61
7.7 Legislative Action Plan for Securing Proposed Funding .....	7-62

**Chapter 8: Innovative Strategies and Programs**

8.1 Introduction.....	8-1
8.2 Program Descriptions .....	8-1
8.2.1 Green Contracting.....	8-1
8.2.2 Expanded Spare The Air Practices (or Effects) .....	8-2
8.2.3 Employer Based Trip Reduction .....	8-2
8.2.4 Heat Island Mitigation .....	8-3
8.2.5 Alternative Energy Production .....	8-4
8.2.6 Energy Conservation .....	8-5
8.2.7 Enhanced Indirect Source Review .....	8-6
8.2.8 Episodic and Regionally-focused Control Measures.....	8-7
8.2.9 Advanced Emission Reduction Options (AERO) .....	8-7

**Chapter 9: Local, State, and Federal Controls**

9.1 Introduction.....	9-1
-----------------------	-----

9.2 Local Component: Measures to Reduce Emissions by Improving Vehicle Use .....	9-1
9.2.1 Legislative Requirement .....	9-1
9.2.2 Local Reasonably Available Control Measure Strategy ....	9-3
9.2.3 Conformity Budgets .....	9-8
9.3 Summary of the New Statewide Strategy Under Evaluation by the Air Resource Board Staff .....	9-9

**Chapter 10: Reasonable Further Progress**

10.1 Introduction.....	10-1
10.2 Reasonable Further Progress Requirements .....	10-1
10.3 Reasonable Further Progress Calculations .....	10-2
10.4 Reductions Beyond RFP for Contingency .....	10.4

**Chapter 11: Conclusion**

11.1 Introduction.....	11-1
11.2 Summary of Control Strategy Emissions Reductions .....	11-1
11.3 Discussion .....	11-2
11.4 Major Source Thresholds.....	11-5
11.5 Long-term measures .....	11-5
11.5.1 Alternative Energy Sources .....	11-6
11.5.2 Advanced Retrofit/Replacement Technologies .....	11-8
11.5.3 Smart Growth/Land Use .....	11-9
11.6 Contingency Measures .....	11-10
11.6.1 Contingency Measures for RFP Milestones and Attainment .....	11-10
11.6.2 "Black Box" Contingency Requirements .....	11-13

**Acknowledgements**

## Appendices

### Appendix A: Ambient Air Quality Data

A.1	Introduction .....	A-1
A.2	Ambient Air Quality Data .....	A-1
A.3	Trend and Spatial Variations.....	A-6
	A.3.1 Local Trends.....	A-6
	A.3.2 Number of Days Above the Eight Hour Ozone NAAQS.....	A-8
	A.3.3 Difference Between Urban and Rural Ozone Response .....	A-11

### Appendix B: Emissions Inventory

B.1	Introduction .....	B-1
B.2	Emissions Inventory Tables .....	B-3
B.3	Emissions Inventory Calculations and Revisions .....	B-9
	B.3.1 Emission Factors and Emission Equations.....	B-9
	B.3.2 Emissions Inventory Updates .....	B-10

### Appendix C: Local Controls: Conformity and RACM

### Appendix D: Emission Reduction Credits

D.1	Introduction .....	D-1
D.2	Pre-Baseline Emission Reduction Credits.....	D-1
D.3	List of ERCs.....	D-11
D.4	Cap on Growth.....	D-33

### Appendix E: Triennial Progress Report for the California 1-hour Ozone Standard

E.1	Introduction .....	E-1
	E.1.1 Triennial Plan and Progress Report History .....	E-1
	E.1.2 State Requirements and Guidance.....	E-2
E.2	Ambient Air Quality Data .....	E-3
E.3	Ozone Air Quality Indicators.....	E-3
	E.3.1 Expected Peak Day Concentration (EPDC) .....	E-4
	E.3.2 Population-weighted Exposure (PWE) .....	E-9
	E.3.3 Area-weighted Exposure (AWE).....	E-10
E.4	Transport Mitigation .....	E-11
E.5	District Control Measures .....	E-12
E.6	Mobile Source Control Measures .....	E-15
	E.6.1 District Committed Measures .....	E-15
	E.6.2 Voluntary Programs.....	E-15
	E.6.3 Land Use Programs.....	E-16
E.7	Plan Revisions .....	E-17
	E.7.1 Control Strategy.....	E-17
	E.7.2 Expected Reductions.....	E-19

**Appendix F: Photochemical Modeling Support Documents**

F.1	Introduction.....	F-1
F.2	Approach.....	F-2
F.2.1	Description of Methodology .....	F-3
F.2.2	Estimating Base-Year (2002) Design Values.....	F-4
F.2.3	Relative Reduction Factors.....	F-5
F.2.4	Criteria for Use of Modeled Days in RRF Calculations .....	F-6
F.2.5	Estimating Future-Year Design Values.....	F-7
F.2.6	Unpaired Peak Concentrations.....	F-9
F.2.7	Other Potential Technical Issues .....	F-9
F.3	Future Year Results .....	F-10
F.3.1	Base-Year Design Values.....	F-10
F.3.2	Future Year Design Value and Carrying Capacity Estimates .....	F-11
F.4	Analysis of Model-Simulated, Unmonitored Peaks.....	F-15
F.5	Future Year, 2020 Carrying Capacities .....	F-16
F.6	Future Year, 2023 Carrying Capacities .....	F-37
	Volume 1: Model Performance Evaluation .....	F-59
	Corroborative Analyses/Weight of Evidence Elements.....	F-138

**Appendix G: Town Hall Meeting Suggestions****Appendix H: Screening Analysis****Appendix I: Candidate Control Measures****Appendix J: Strategic Action Plan for the California Partnership for the San Joaquin Valley****Appendix K: Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) Analysis for Wine Fermentation, Wine Storage, and Brandy Aging****Appendix L: Comments and Responses**

L.1	Comments Received from ISSRC .....	L-1
L.2	Comments Received During the Final 30-Day Public Comment Period .....	L-4
L.3	Comments Received from EPA on January 29, 2007 Draft.....	L-16
L.4	Verbal Comments, February 8, 2007 Public Workshop on the Draft 2007 Ozone Plan.....	L-19
L.5	Written Comments, February 8, 2007 Public Workshop on the Draft 2007 Ozone Plan.....	L-25
L.6	Verbal Comments, October 17, 2006 Public Workshop on the Draft 2007 Ozone Plan.....	L-35
L.7	Written Comments, Public Comment Period Ending on November 7, 2006.....	L-39

**Appendix M: Clean Air Act Requirements**

**Appendix N: ISSRC “Clearing the Air” February 2007, 1<sup>st</sup> Version**

**Appendix O: ISSRC “Clearing the Air” February 2007, 2<sup>nd</sup> Version**

**Appendix P: District Evaluation of ISSRC “Clearing the Air” Documents**

**Appendix Q: Supporting Documents for SIP Credibility**

Q.1 Resolution for Enhancing SIP Credibility of Incentive Reductions .....	Q-1
Q.2 Sample Emission Reduction Calculations Used in Protocols.....	Q-1
Q.3 Heavy-Duty Program Annual Report Sample .....	Q-9

## List of Figures

Figure ES-1 San Joaquin Valley NOx Emissions, 2005-2023 .....	ES-2
Figure ES-2 Unique Valley Conditions Trap Air Pollution.....	ES-5
Figure ES-3 VOC + NOx per Square Mile in the SJV and Other Areas in California .....	ES-6
Figure ES-4 Past Efforts to Reduce Air Pollution by Region .....	ES-7
Figure ES-5 How Difficult is Achieving the Valley's NOx Carrying Capacity for Ozone?.....	ES-9
Figure ES-6 Projected Ozone Precursors by Major Category .....	ES-10
Figure ES-7 Journey to Attainment .....	ES-15
Figure 1-1 Ozone Formation .....	1-2
Figure 1-2 Ozone Precursor Emissions Reductions (Summer Averages), 1990-2005 .....	1-3
Figure 1-3 Ozone Monitoring Sites within the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin .....	1-4
Figure 1-4 Improvement in 8-hour Ozone Levels, 1995-2005 .....	1-5
Figure 1-5 Days Over the 1-hour Ozone Standard.....	1-6
Figure 1-6 Eight-hour Ozone Design Values, 2003-2005.....	1-8
Figure 1-7 Days Over the Level of the Federal 8-hour Ozone Standard .....	1-9
Figure 3-1 San Joaquin Valley Topography .....	3-1
Figure 3-2 Vertical Dispersion, With and Without Temperature Inversion.....	3-2
Figure 3-3 San Joaquin Valley Wind Patterns During Ozone Season.....	3-3
Figure 3-4 Ozone Precursors by Source Type .....	3-5
Figure 3-5 Arvin Monitoring Location Carrying Capacity (%), 2020.....	3-10
Figure 3-6 Fresno-Sierra Sky Park Carrying Capacity, 2020 .....	3-10
Figure 3-7 Arvin Monitoring Location Carrying Capacity (TPD), 2020.....	3-12
Figure 3-8 Arvin Monitoring Location Carrying Capacity (TPD), 2023.....	3-12
Figure 3-9 Attainment Carrying Capacities for Selected Sites, 2020 Modeling.....	3-14
Figure 3-10 Attainment Carrying Capacities for Selected Sites, 2023 Modeling.....	3-15
Figure 4-1 PM2.5 Formation .....	4-2
Figure 10-1 Reductions Surpassing RFP Milestone Requirements .....	10-3
Figure A-1 Valley-wide 3-year average of the annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations.....	A-4
Figure A-2 8-Hour Ozone Design Value (2005) .....	A-5

Figure A-3 Visalia (one of seven improving sites in the SJVAB)	
8-hour ozone 3-year average of the annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations, 1990-2005.....	A-6
Figure A-4 Fresno – Sierra Sky Park (the only site where 8-hour ozone air quality is getting worse) 3-year average of the annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations, 1990-2005 .....	A-7
Figure A-5 Fresno – Drummond (one of the eleven sites with no clear trend) 3-year average of the annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations, 1990-2005 .....	A-7
Figure A-6 Number of Days Over the Level of the 8-hour Ozone Standard: Visalia .....	A-8
Figure A-7 Number of Days Over the Level of the 8-hour Ozone Standard: Fresno – Sierra Sky Park .....	A-9
Figure A-8 Number of Days Over the Level of the 8-hour Ozone Standard: Fresno – Drummond .....	A-9
Figure A-9 Ozone Concentrations (ppb) at Ash Mountain and Bakersfield - Golden on July 2, 2005 .....	A-12
Figure E-1 Expected Peak Day Concentration (EPDC) at the Arvin-Bear Site .....	E-5
Figure E-2 Expected Peak Day Concentration (EPDC) at the Bakersfield Golden State Site .....	E-6
Figure E-3 Expected Peak Day Concentration (EPDC) at the Bakersfield California Ave. Site .....	E-6
Figure E-4 Expected Peak Day Concentration (EPDC) at the Clovis N. Villa Ave. Site .....	E-7
Figure E-5 Expected Peak Day Concentration (EPDC) at the Fresno 1 <sup>st</sup> Street Site .....	E-7
Figure E-6 Expected Peak Day Concentration (EPDC) at the Hanford-Irwin Street Site .....	E-8
Figure E-7 Expected Peak Day Concentration (EPDC) at the Maricopa-Stanislaus Street Site .....	E-8
Figure E-8 Expected Peak Day Concentration (EPDC) at the Merced South Coffee Ave. Site .....	E-9
Figure E-9 Population Weighted Exposure per Person .....	E-10
Figure E-10 Area Weighted Exposure per Square Kilometer .....	E-11
Figure F-1 Sub-regions for air quality model performance evaluation .....	F-2
Figure F-2 Sample Report Page for the Arvin monitoring site .....	F-13

## ***List of Tables***

Table 1-1 Sample Design Value Calculation .....	1-7
Table 2-1 Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone .....	2-4
Table 2-2 Timelines and Requirements.....	2-5
Table 2-3 Federal Requirements for Extreme 8-hour Ozone Nonattainment Areas.....	2-6
Table 3-1 SJVAB Population by County.....	3-4
Table 6-1 District Stationary Source Regulatory Implementation Schedule ....	6-5
Table 6-2 District Stationary Source Feasibility/Future Study Implementation Schedule .....	6-6
Table 7-1 Existing Funding Sources.....	7-5
Table 7-2 NOx Reductions Achieved by District Incentive Measures with Assured Funding .....	7-6
Table 8-1 Projected Reductions for Employer-based Trip Reduction Program .....	8-3
Table 9-1 Clean Air Act Section 108(f) Transportation Control Measures.....	9-4
Table 9-2 Maximum Feasible NOx Emission Reductions from TCMs in the San Joaquin Valley in 2020 and 2020 .....	9-6
Table 9-3 Transportation Conformity Budgets.....	9-10
Table 9-4 Emission Reduction Estimates for the San Joaquin Valley from Near Term Concepts Under Evaluation for State and Federal Measures .....	9-11
Table 10-1 Adjusted Emissions Inventory (in tons per day) .....	10-2
Table 10-2 RFP Demonstration: Percent of Emissions Reductions from Base year .....	10-3
Table 10-3 Contingency Measure Demonstration .....	10-4
Table 11-1 Summary of NOx Emissions Reductions to be Achieved by this Plan, tons per day .....	11-1
Table 11-2 Summary of VOC Emissions Reductions to be Achieved by this Plan, tons per day .....	11-2
Table A-1 Fourth Highest Eight Hour Average Ozone (ppm) .....	A-2
Table A-2 3-year Average of the Annual Fourth-Highest Daily Maximum 8-hour Average Ozone Concentrations (ppm) .....	A-3
Table A-3 Current Ozone Air Quality Design Values and Attainment Status for the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin .....	A-4

Table A-4 Number of Days Above the 8-Hour Ozone Standard of 0.08 ppm .....	A-10
Table B-1 Reductions from District Rules Not Reflected in the Emissions Inventory .....	B-2
Table B-2 ARB Adjustments to Emissions Inventory Baseline .....	B-2
Table B-3 Summer Average Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) Emissions Inventory, tons per day.....	B-4
Table B-4 Summer Average Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC), tons per day.....	B-7
Table D-1 Estimated NOx Growth, Control, and Estimated Offset Use.....	D-5
Table D-2 Estimated VOC Growth, Control, and Estimated Offset Use .....	D-8
Table D-3 Current List of NOx and VOC Emission Reduction Credits, August 2006 .....	D-11
Table D-4 Cap on Stationary Source Growth by Pollutant .....	D-33
Table E-1 Triennial Progress Reports .....	E-2
Table E-2 CCAA Requirements for Triennial Progress Reports.....	E-2
Table E-3 SJVAB State 1-hour Ozone Standard Exceedances .....	E-3
Table E-4 BARCT Rules .....	E-13
Table E-5 Actual Emission Reductions for District Rules Affecting Ozone Precursor Emissions (2003-2005) .....	E-14
Table E-6 District Stationary Source Control Measure Cost Effectiveness Rankings .....	E-18
Table E-7 Planning Emissions Inventories (summer, tons/day) .....	E-19
Table F-1 Combined Number of Available Days Per Sub-region Under 8-hour Metrics.....	F-1
Table F-2 Baseline Design Value Calculation .....	F-5
Table F-3 Calculation of the Average Relative Reduction Factor with and without Consideration of Background Ozone .....	F-8
Table F-4 San Joaquin Valley Design Values for 2002-2004 (Preliminary) .....	F-10
Table H-1 Screening of Existing and Proposed District NOx and VOC Rules .....	H-1
Table M-1 General Nonattainment Plan Requirements.....	M-1
Table M-2 Extreme Area Plan Requirements for Ozone Nonattainment Areas.....	M-3
Table M-3 California Clean Air Act Planning Requirements .....	M-7

This page intentionally blank.